2. A. C. C.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/936,344	BUWALDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Bhat	1761
All Participants:	Status of Applica	ition:
(1) <u>N. Bhat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Sipos</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 rejection and the 1.132 Affidavit		
Claims discussed: 1,2, 5-6 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: Jeffcoat		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF WI	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview in the necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview. 	cation. The examiner will provides separate record of the substan	de a written summary of the substance ce of the interview, since the interview
	-	
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed with applicant's representative that the 1.132 Affidavit compared the Jeffcoat starch used in a food product such as pudding and the starch as claimed in a pudding after subjecting the starch containing food to heat and/or shear, on ly the instant invention shoes that the starch disintegrates into discrete particles after processing, the starch used in the 1.132 declaration is a non-cereal starch, the claims should be commensurate in scope to the 1.132 declaration, changes to the claims were authorized by applicant's representative by examiner's amendment.